

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/069,366

Applicant(s)

KASTENHUBER ET AL.

Examiner

David L. Sorkin

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:(1) David L. Sorkin.(2) Jason D. Voight.**Status of Application:** pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 November 2004**Time:** 11:50 AM EST**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

not discussed

Claims discussed:

not discussed


Prior art documents discussed:

*not discussed***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Voight because the examiner believed applicant had filed a supplemental communication after filing the 01 September 2004 RCE; however, no such communication was available to the the examiner. Supplemental remarks having a certificate of mailing of 14 September 2004 were then sent by fax to the examiner, which are attached hereto. .